

Diesse MINI-CUBE Correlation Study

This study was conducted to verify correlation of the automated Diesse MINI-CUBE system to the Modified Westergren benchmark method and the Streck ESR-Auto Plus® automated method. The MINI-CUBE provides excellent correlation to Modified Westergren in standard 13 x 75 mm EDTA tubes. The system also provides flexibility to run 500 µL samples in BD Microtainer and BD Microtainer MAP tubes.

Introduction

The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) continues to be one of the most widely performed laboratory tests. The Westergren method, first introduced in 1921, and recommended as the ESR method of choice in 1973 by the International Council for Standardization in Haematology (ICSH), remains the benchmark against which other ESR methods are evaluated.¹ As described in Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) document H02, Procedures for the Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate Test, a modification of the Westergren method employs blood anticoagulated with EDTA and then diluted with saline to reproduce results identical to those obtained by the classical Westergren method.²

While the Westergren method is considered the benchmark for ESR analysis, it is not without significant limitations. Samples must be set up and analyzed within four hours of blood collection when samples are stored at room temperature, and within 24 hours when samples are stored at 4 °C. Sedimentation data must be visually evaluated by a technologist at precisely 60 +/- 1 minute and manually recorded. In addition, a number of variables including temperature control, vibration, tube verticality, and operator technique will affect the sedimentation rate. A number of automated systems are available for ESR testing, but most pose some inconveniences for the clinical laboratory. A separate ESR tube is often needed, which does not eliminate the risk of exposure of lab personnel to potentially infectious material.

The MINI-CUBE system simplifies the testing procedure while maintaining excellent correlation to the Modified Westergren method. The MINI-CUBE performs a direct measurement of samples collected in standard 13 x 75 mm K₂ or K₃ EDTA tubes, thereby eliminating the need for a separate ESR collection tube and potentially biased results due to improper sodium chloride dilutions, as well as reducing exposure to biological hazards. The instrument offers an archive for patient and QC results, LIS compatibility, a



barcode scanner for positive patient identification and an optional Bluetooth printer.³

To accommodate variability in blood collection tube configurations and patient sample volumes, the MINI-CUBE is compatible with standard 13 x 75 mm K₂ or K₃ EDTA tubes with Hemogard or conventional stoppers and a sample volume of 2.0 mL to 4.0 mL. The MINI-CUBE is also compatible with standard BD Microtainer K₂ EDTA tubes and BD Microtainer MAP K₂ EDTA tubes with a sample volume of 500 µL. Correlation data is outlined in the Results section.

Methods

Sample Collection

Blood from donors was collected into standard 13 x 75 mm, 3.0 mL K₂ EDTA, 4.0 mL K₂ EDTA tubes and standard 10.0 mL K₂ EDTA tubes. Samples collected in EDTA tubes were mixed immediately after collection by completely inverting the tubes 8 to 10 times. All samples were tested within 4 hours of collection.

Sample Preparation for Modified Westergren

Blood samples collected in standard 10.0 mL K₂ EDTA tubes were inverted 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion. Using a transfer pipette, aliquots of

Diesse MINI-CUBE Correlation Study

of blood were added to the Modified Westergren tubes, capped and mixed by manual inversion 8 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion. Following manufacturer instructions carefully, the tubes were grasped and inserted through the cap membrane of the filling reservoir. After penetrating the reservoir, the pipette was gently pushed to the bottom of the reservoir and tubes were gently transferred and placed on a level stand at room temperature. ESR levels were recorded in mm/hr at exactly 60 minutes.

Sample Preparation for Diesse MINI-CUBE

3.0 and 4.0 mL sample volumes: Blood samples collected in standard 13 x 75 mm, 3.0 mL and 4.0 mL draw volume K₂EDTA tubes were inverted 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion.

2.0 mL sample volume: Blood samples collected in standard 10.0 mL K₂EDTA tubes were inverted 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion. Using a pipette, blood aliquots of 2.0 mL were added to standard 13 x 75 mm K₂EDTA tubes, capped and mixed by manual inversion 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion.

500 µL sample volume: Blood samples collected in standard 10.0 mL K₂EDTA tubes were inverted 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion. Using a pipette, aliquots of 500 µL of blood were added to standard BD Microtainer K₂EDTA tubes or BD Microtainer MAP K₂EDTA tubes, capped and mixed by manual inversion 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion.



Care was taken during sample mixing to avoid the formation of bubbles, which could interfere with sample results. Identification numbers assigned to each donor were entered into the MINI-CUBE systems. When prompted, the tubes were inserted into a free position in the MINI-CUBE to initiate testing. Results in mm/hr automatically printed at the conclusion of the measurement.

Sample Preparation for Streck ESR-Auto Plus

Blood samples collected in standard 10.0 mL K₂EDTA tubes were inverted 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion. Using a transfer pipette, the sample was added to the fill line of a Streck ESR-Vacuum Tube, capped and mixed by manual inversion 8 to 10 times allowing the air bubble to reach the end of the tube with each inversion.⁴ Identification numbers assigned to each donor were entered into the ESR-Auto Plus instrument. When prompted, the tubes were inserted into a free position in the ESR-Auto Plus to initiate testing. Results in mm/hr automatically printed at the conclusion of the 30-minute measurement.

Results

Table 1 summarizes the correlation data obtained from samples collected in 13 x 75 mm K₂EDTA tubes (3.0 mL and 4.0 mL) for analysis on the MINI-CUBE and samples with aliquots transferred into 13 x 75 mm K₂EDTA tubes (2.0 mL) and BD Microtainer tubes (500 µL) for analysis on the MINI-CUBE; Modified Westergren tubes for analysis on the manual method; and Streck ESR-Vacuum Tubes for analysis on the ESR-Auto Plus.

Table 1

Diesse MINI-CUBE Whole Blood Correlation

Diesse MINI-CUBE*	Method	Correlation	
4.0 mL BD 13 x 75 mm K ₂ EDTA	Modified Westergren	90.4%	n = 48
3.0 mL BD 13 x 75 mm K ₂ EDTA	Modified Westergren	93.4%	n = 48
2.0 mL BD 13 x 75 mm K ₂ EDTA	Modified Westergren	90.8%	n = 48
500 µL BD Microtainer K ₂ EDTA**	Modified Westergren	84.3%	n = 48
500 µL BD Microtainer MAP K ₂ EDTA**	Modified Westergren	79.5%	n = 48
3.0 mL BD 13 x 75 mm K ₂ EDTA	ESR-Auto Plus	89.3%	n = 48

*Data collected on software v.1.26 unless noted otherwise. Software update to improve ESR correlation across fill volumes with standard 13 x 75 mm K₂EDTA tubes

**Data collected on software v1.23

Discussion

The ESR test is susceptible to a variety of errors. It is important to stress that proper specimen mixing and handling are critical for reproducing the results from this study. Care was taken during sample mixing to avoid the formation of bubbles, which could interfere with the sample results. Both manual and automated ESR methods are subject to a high degree of variability in samples that lack a clear erythrocyte to plasma interface. Testing should commence within four hours of collection if specimen was held at ambient temperature. Results can be affected by a variety of pathological factors including anemia and red blood cell size, and environmental factors such as temperature and vibration.

The clinical utility of the ESR test has long been debated. The use of the ESR as a screening test to identify patients who have serious disease is not supported by the literature. There has been some use of the ESR as a diagnostic parameter for rheumatoid arthritis but the test is a means of staging the disease, not a key diagnostic finding as the American College of Rheumatology's criteria states an elevated ESR is one of four bloodwork findings that may be present.⁵ Although there is an enormous body of literature concerning the ESR, an elevated value remains a non-specific finding. The FDA continues to classify all automated ESR systems, such as the MINI-CUBE, as class 1, 510(k) exempt medical devices.⁶

Statistical tools such as total error, commonly used in more sophisticated chemistry and immunoassay testing, are most practical when applied to control material given the rapid degradation of biological material and the compound variability and total error of the manual, comparative method. The value of total analytical error for clinicians is that it provides a measure of the quality of the assay that can be directly tied to improving medical errors. The challenge lies in defining how good a test needs to be for its intended clinical use.

A note about statistical quality control

Statistical quality control (SQC), while outside the scope of this bulletin but worth a brief mention, is an essential tool for managing analytical quality, but the rules and criteria should be optimized for value and efficiency. Experts in laboratory statistical analysis are moving towards a merger of the traditional Westgard QC "multi-rules" and the Six Sigma principles, a process improvement methodology focused on eliminating defects in a product or service utilizing the following formula:

Sigma scale = (TEa - Bias) / CV

- TEa, allowable Total Error (using Proficiency survey limits or CLIA limits).
- Bias, inaccuracy of the method (Lab Mean - Peer Mean).
- CV, imprecision of the method (using daily quality control data or from a replication experiment). These calculations lead to the application of the Westgard Sigma Rules, a quicker approach to helping laboratories select the appropriate statistical quality control for their applications.⁷

Conclusion

CLSI recommends that all new ESR methodologies be verified to give results in accordance with the traditional Westergren reference method and the H02 guideline suggests a traditional regression analysis for this whole blood comparison. This regression analysis serves as part of the laboratory's documentation for risk assessment to meet CLIA's IQCP regulation.⁸ Automated instruments such as the MINI-CUBE improve the practicality of the original Westergren method. The Diesse MINI-CUBE further reduces the potential biohazard, shortens the turnaround time, and provides excellent correlation to the Modified Westergren benchmark method.

References:

1. International Committee for Standardization in Haematology. Reference method for the sedimentation (ESR) test on human blood. BR J Haematol 1973; 24:671-673.
2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, H02. Procedures for the erythrocyte sedimentation rate test. Approved Standard.
3. Diesse MINI-CUBE User Manual.
4. ESR-Auto Plus Operator Manual.
5. American College of Rheumatology, www.rheumatology.org.
6. FDA, <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpcd/315.cfm?GMPPart=864#start>.
7. Westgard JO, Westgard SA. Basic Quality Management Systems 2014. Defining Statistical QC Procedures 171-188.
8. The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendment. Individualized Quality Control Plan alternate QC policy, http://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/CLIA/Individualized_Quality_Control_Plan_IQCP.html.